

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/015,087	10/19/2001	Leonard Arnold Duffy		9503
75	590 12/04/2002	2		
Leonard Duffy			EXAMINER	
P O Box 99 Hinesburg, VT	05461		BRITTAIN, JAMES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/015,087	DUFFY, LEONARD ARN	OLD .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
% - ∜	James R. Brittain	3677	
y The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	-
Period for Reply		NITHON EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ution.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,-	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a			is is
Disposition of Claims	ex parto quayro, 1000 o.b	. 11, 100 0.0.210.	
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-47 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		440(=) (d) == (0)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	i priority under 35 U.S.C. §	1 19(a)-(u) of (i).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	- have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		unlination No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	•	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. {	3 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u></u> ·
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 3677

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-34 and 44-47, drawn to a fastening or interlocking device, classified in class 24, subclass 442.
- II. Claims 35-43, drawn to a method and apparatus for making a device, classified in class 264, subclass 328.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The article as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus that also defines another accompanying materially process of making the article. This is set forth below.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making as set forth in the process claims and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as providing a computer controlled rotating cutting tool, providing a block of material, and machining the block with a rotating cutting tool so as to have fastener with the claimed fenestrations, islands and undercuts.

Inventions II and I are related as apparatus in the apparatus claims and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the

.Art Unit: 3677

product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as a computer controlled rotating cutting tool that forms the device with the claimed fenestrations, islands and undercuts.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant should choose Group II comprising claims 35-43 as set forth above, then a further election of species among the apparatus and processes is required in response to this action. This election is only required if applicant elects Group II.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I comprising figure 15 comprising the reciprocating injection molding apparatus and method of using the apparatus appearing to correspond to claims 35, 36 and 39;

.Art Unit: 3677

Group II comprising figure 16 comprising the reciprocating cutting/bending apparatus and method of using the apparatus appearing to correspond to claims 35, 36, 39 and 42;

Group III comprising figure 17 comprising the rotating cutting/bending apparatus and method of using the apparatus appearing to correspond to claims 37 and 43; and

Group IV comprising figure 18 comprising the continuous injection molding apparatus including rotating molds via a belt and method of using the apparatus appearing to correspond to claims 37, 38, 40; and 41.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic to all species of production.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- Art Unit: 3677

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Leonard Duffy on December 3, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is 703-308-2222. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 5:30 to 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

, Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

JRB December 3, 2002